National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXIII. NO. 22. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1862. WHOLE NO. 1,166.

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,

AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At its Office, No. 18 Beekman Street, New York,

AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE

PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

106 *North-Tenth Street, Philadelphia.*

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "EDITOR OF THE NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK."

Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business affairs of the paper, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK."

ADVERTISEMENTS, 10 cents per line for each insertion.

Selections.

THE PROCLAMATION OF FREEDOM.

WHEN a great end, which has been arduously struggled for through much suffering, and with many sacrifices and disappointments, is at length attained, the first impulse is one of profound exultation, of intense satisfaction; the next is one of awe; the third, of solemn and cavil. "Where is the blessedness we spoke of?" Why might we not have had all we asked for as well as this? Why stop short, after going so far? Is this adequate to the exigency? Does it really pay for all the effort and sacrifice it has cost? And then the reaction follows, a great triumph is apt to induce discontent if not apathy.

Mrs. Hemans's "Traveller at the Source of the Nile" will be remembered by many who are querying, after all the first sensation of triumph had passed, "*And is this all?*"

The President's proclamation of freedom is not what I desired and sought. I did hope that the President would feel constrained to declare slavery abolished at once and in every part of the country. I hoped that Maryland, Delaware, and Missouri, would have been made free States forthwith (compensation of course to be made to the few thoroughly loyal slaveholders in each), and that every slave now under the jurisdiction of the Union should likewise be forever free, and that the right to hold as they should come or be brought within that jurisdiction. I firmly believed—I still believe—the exigency such as fully and clearly to warrant and demand such an exercise of supreme military authority for the saving of the life of the republic.

But the President has determined on a more cautious, limited, temporizing procedure. His proclamation frees absolutely only such slaves as the rebel Congress, punishing the treason of rebels, had already legally emancipated. Nearly three months must yet elapse—a full hundred days from the date of the proclamation—before the restoration of freedom to all slaves of rebels, and of persons passively resuming and residing within States and districts hitherto under rebel jurisdiction, will take effect.

Hence some say, "The proclamation is a soap-bubble—a mirage—a delusion. It does not abolish slavery—it may never free a single slave. The rebels may succumb and return to loyalty; or they may be beaten and their States may be restored by force to the Union; or they may triumph and achieve their independence through foreign aid or otherwise, so that very few may emerge from the contest strong and defiant as ever. When will avail, then, the proclamation of freedom?

Now, it is true that the rebels *may* save slavery by promptly and thoroughly repudiating their treason: in so far, the decision is well grounded. Were rebels to lay down their arms to-morrow, and consent to their unqualified submission to the Constitution and adhesion to the Union, slavery would doubtless survive the rebellion. Legally this is physically true, but it is morally otherwise. The dupes of the rebellion—the ignorant millions of Southern whites—know nothing of the real animus or objects of their arms—know nothing of the secret counsels that have controlled their vanity. There are constantly crammed, their vanity inflamed, by tidings of Confederate victories. And over the further South, it is currently reported, and by the "poor whites" believed, that Stonewall by the Jackson has taken Washington City, entering in triumph at the head of the victorious rebel Cabinet by way of capture of the President and Congress. Boasts, too, are current that Gen. Lee's victorious army is on its way, and that Gen. Lee's victorious army is on its bosom, and that Gen. Lee's victorious army is on its bosom, and that Gen. Lee's victorious army is on its bosom

THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH AND THE WAR.

The General Triennial Convention of the Episcopal Church of the United States was begun last week in New York. That body always sets down no attempt of its own small minority or callight and number. It is a general and assembly of the clergy and laity of the country. But in attendance and ability in the magnitude of the interests involved in the present civil War, though the 11th Convention could not hold under the circumstances to be, at this time, of doing its duty beyond the bullen and the sea. The following report will show how little a speculative was disappointed.

It was Bishop, of Pennsylvania, arrived and said he had just arrived from the battle-field of Antietam, and he was surprised that there was not more in the North to believe the war was at war with rebels. He had been reminded that the Church was mainly conservative and opposed to radicalism, but he deemed it his duty to present the following resolution:

Whereas, It has pleased the Supreme Ruler of the universe to permit sedition and rebellion, impiety and infidelity to subsist for an extended period in our midst, and to cause the fire of civil war to kindle and rage with fearful violence, and whereas, it seems meet unto us, as a branch of the Church, to set forth some of our brethren of the Church have attempted to pervert the ecclesiastical enterprises of the visible Head of the Church, by a most unjustifiable enabling faction on said throne, thereby unjustly hindering the work of the Church in this land, by grievously wounding the body of Christ's people, and by so doing have rendered themselves obnoxious to the wrath of God, and to them, that no one permitted to fill the Holy Office of Bishop, therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops be requested to erect forthwith the more of this Church assembling in present session a special form of prayer, commanding the use of our united thanks, and praise for God's mercies to us, and our united prayers and supplications for God's blessing upon our arms, that it be the victory over all of our Enlisted countrymen, and that God may be pleased to overthrow all their malice and confound their devices, and grant unto them repentance and a better mind, together then for the restoration of peace, that He will restore our National Union, bring back peace, and prosperity to the State, and grant unity to the whole land, and that He will keep us effectually in the perpetual memory of the honor and glory of his name.

The reading of the resolution kindled a storm of excitement. Dr. Leeds of Pennsylvania, was opposed to the resolution offered by Bacollenger. Mr. Goddard, of Ohio, moved to lay the resolution on the table. Rev. Dr. Hoffman moved the resolution to be laid upon the table without discussion. Judge Chambers, of Maryland, was for discussing all these questions on the subject. Mr. Hoffman, of New York, called for the yeas and nays on the question of laying the resolution on the table.

The following is the result of the call: Yeas 72, Nays 31.

[Among the yeas who voted nay, were Robert C. Winthrop, of Massachusetts, and Horace Binney, Benjamin Coates, and Peter McCall, of Pennsylvania. A little advance on last year.]

Mr. Ruggles, of New York, moved a reconsideration of the vote.

The Hon. Mr. Carpenter, of New Jersey, the Rev. Mr. Goodwin of Philadelphia and Judge Chambers of Pennsylvania ably sustained the motion made by Mr. Ruggles. Judge Chambers made a diversion early against reconsideration. Judge Redfield objected to the form of the resolution, giving that as the reason why he voted to lay it on the table. He did not think though the less we said, and the less we did in that direction, the better it would be for the peace of the country. He intimated that he was opposed to the proposition that the Convention had actual power to pray against the rebellion.

Mr. Ruggles was surprised that Judge Chambers should call him a "firebrand" for asking a reconsideration of the vote.

The Hon. Murray Hoffman made an eloquent argument in favor of the spirit of the resolution, and intimated that he deemed it some future time to introduce a series of resolutions in relation to the question under discussion.

The Rev. Dr. Peters, of New Jersey, son of the late Bishop Peters, denied the Convention to say nothing and do nothing in relation to the subject.

The Rev. Dr. Beach, of Conn., offered a substitute for the resolution.

Ex-Governor Hunt, of N.Y., arose and said he had come to the Convention not expecting that the troubles of the country would be brought up in any form in that body. There was no reason why the Church should make expressions of loyalty. He would not say it in reference to the press nor any outside influences. He considered it one of the most fortunate events that the Church was entirely free from all responsibility for the rebellion. The apple of discord has never been placed in the Church. It was certainly to be hoped high Heaven that the Church was not responsible for the evils that have fallen upon the land. He had hoped no perplexities would be brought forward that would stand in the way of the future union of the South with the North. Let us do nothing to widen the breach between us. *He begged to remind the Church that there else now had the confidence of enemies ready at a future day become our friends.* He warned all the propositions relative to the subject referred to a select committee.

Judge Chambers again took the floor. He desired to know who dared to bring the charge of disloyalty against the Church. The idea of perpetuation had been introduced, he claimed that Episcopacy was not popular. The South was home of our lamented blessed mother and not prepared to confess that sin. Speaking of the South, Massachusetts, he was willing to acknowledge his sins and the sins of the forgive in Massachusetts. He did not propose to confess the sins of South Carolina. As a citizen of Massachusetts, he was willing to bow his head and tremble at the sins of his country, but he would like a form of prayer from the Bishops to ask God to forgive the sins of Massachusetts.

Dr. Francis Vinton, of Trinity Church, then came forward, and taking his stand in the aisle, made the speech of the session. He boldly charged that this difference of opinion was but the echo of the gun fired at Richmond at the last inauguration of General Government, when out of courtesy to delegates from another section of the country, certain questions on which they were sensitive were kept in the background and lost. This was not now a question of rebellion against the government merely, but of rebellion against the Church.

The President stopped the speaker to announce that the House of Bishops had sent in the following resolution:

The House of Bishops, in consideration of the present unhappy condition of the country, propose to the House of Clerical and Lay Deputies to join with them in a day of fasting, humiliation, and prayer, and to hold in Trinity Church a solemn service, appropriate to the occasion.

The Bishops affectionately request the House of Clerical and Lay Deputies to join with them in said observance. Those [said the speaker] who have the endorsement of the House of Bishops, which ceases to be like a room [laughter]. We have no time to waste in fines and guardians of the Church. To ignore the question is to fail to do our trust. The Bishops of the South would be pleased at every man who would ask an expression of our loyalty. Human nature and Southern human nature would expect us to be loyal. We have not decided word on this question. If the Church could had done its duty, the rebellion would not have been. The Church South had ignored the Church North, and adopted another form of prayer for the Confederacy, instead of for the United States. The South had ignored the prayer-book, ignored the Church, and is an open rebellion against the Church. The men who stood arrayed against the Church and country ought to have been out, and might have been, in the performance of their duty, the conservators of our national unity. It was the duty of that body, as intimately with the keeping of the Church, to rebuke those who had offended. They owed it to their own self-respect to do it openly and Christian course, and administer rebuke where sin was committed, otherwise on the event of a future Union of the Church their Southern brethren would not despise them. The Rev. Doctor was exceedingly eloquent, and produced a profound impression upon the House.

The Rev. Dr. Goodwin, of Pennsylvania, followed in a calm, philosophical and exhaustive argument. He proceeded to answer every argument made against the resolution, and said that the adoption of a prayer by the country, in which they could all unite was inexpedient at this moment. It could not be offered in the South, and the unction of churchmen, some of whom might believe they were right, to show that private conscience could not be depended on as a guide in the matter.

In the course of his remarks the speaker was interrupted by Judge Chambers of Maryland, who wished the Convention to note that he had not introduced politics for their consideration.

The Hon. Mr. Hoffman has been frequently alluded to and not in a complimentary manner by Judge Chambers, who anticipated something unpleasant without knowing what was to come, and it proved perfectly and resolutions in explanation, as follows

Whereas, A number of the members of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America have taken up arms in overthrow the lawful government set over them, and others of such members are acting in such unlawful rebellion; and Whereas, the members of this Church in the several States have been taught the duty of prayer, even under circumstances appointed disputes to parties, as in the case of Sennacherib, and Whereas, in the General Convention to which, in the year 1856, was adopted and declared a Constitution for the government of the Church; and

Whereas, Every one admitted to holy ordinance in such Church has, upon such admission, solemnly engaged to conform to the doctrine and worship of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States, which doctrine and worship are set forth in the Book of Common Prayer, established in the year 1785, and declared to be the Liturgy of the Church, and required to be received as such by all the members of the same; and

Whereas, It is such book directed that there shall be read a prayer for the President of the United States and all others in civil authority, and that in which the people are set are hidden to say Amen, and thus was recognized the civil authority to which the members of this Church owe obedience; and

Whereas, The Convention of South Carolina did in May, 1861, declare itself no longer under moral obligation of obedience to the Constitution of the Church, and permitted alterations in the Prayer Book to be made by the Bishop of the diocese, and recognized the power of bodies other than the General Convention to change such book, and in the month of June, 1861, the Convention of the diocese of Louisiana did so ordain that it had ceased to be a diocese of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States, and on the 3d day of July, 1861, deputies from the Conventions of the dioceses of South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Louisiana, Arkansas, Mississippi and Texas, passed the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the secession of the states of Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas and Tennessee, from the United States, and the formation by them of a new government called the Confederate States of America, rendered it necessary and expedient that the diocese of the Protestant Episcopal Church within those States should withdraw themselves from any body ordaining or governing the same, and proceed to adopt and recommend for ratification a constitution and canons for the government and discipline of the said Church, and in the month of July, 1861, the Convention of the diocese of Virginia did adopt and ratify a Constitution, and did declare the abrogation of the Book of Common Prayer, and did substitute for the prayer for the President of the United States and all in civil authority, a prayer for those in open rebellion against such United States; therefore,

Resolved, That the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America is alone the particular branch of the Church of God for all who have ordered themselves to be members, to which authority is given, as declared in the 19th Article, exclusive and establishes the rule of discipline.

Resolved, That in the opinion of this House, all ministerial acts either performed or who have acted in the acts and proceedings by which such acts have taken place the abrogation of the Church.

Resolved, That the House of Bishops be requested to invite the Bishops of the Church to call upon the members of their respective dioceses to observe such days of fasting, humiliation and prayer as may be appointed by the President of the United States, and all others to pray that God in his mercy would bring them, and this country, and the Church generally, speedily, and that we may be continually saved and defended from all adversity, and all other enemies, rebellion and sin. By his abounding goodness and almighty power. And be it further

angry." Those who know him will remember how provoking a man he was in his language. It must at all surprising that Gen Davis killed him, but like as he did, Southern views on such subjects. It is to be hoped that Sigel's resignation under no circumstances will be accepted, and that no court of inquiry will sit on him and Halleck will be permitted to continue. We need all our fighting Generals we can get, and this moderate general-ship for the next two months, and I have great hopes of fine success. We are in a strong hellot to the successful advance of our armies here. It is thought that we have passed through the worst stages of the war, and that henceforth there will be daylight to the end of the journey. So may it be.

ANON.

Philadelphia Correspondence.

PHILADELPHIA, October 8th, 1862.

Nearly thirty years ago the British Parliament declared for emancipation in the West India Islands. That act was the glory of England. And yet it was neither instant, immediate nor unconditional in its operation. It was not to go into effect till the 1st of August" ensuing a period of nearly four years should elapse. A new act of partial emancipation, requiring a probation of six years apprenticeship as a preliminary to complete liberation. It provided no compensation for the slaves, but ordained the payment of fifteen millions sterling to their masters. This act, therefore, was not one of impartial justice or unexceptionable expediency. And such as it was it was wrung by the virtues of the people from the reluctant hands of leading Ministers and unwilling majorities in Parliament. Mr. Jas. Mitor, must remember well the strong expressions of distaste-fashion elicited by the conditions of Mr. Stanly's bill. The "apprenticeship system" was denounced by leading Abolitionists. Even Brougham hailed modification not the actual abolition of slavery; and the compensation of the slaveholders instead of their victims was declared to be a perversion of justice.

And yet that act of the British Parliament of 1833 has been ever since regarded, and justly, as the crowning glory of British legislation. Imperfect and half-regenerate nations, like imperfect, semi-regenerate individuals, never perform acts, of doing things considered and in all their aspects, are of pure morality and heroic justice. The good they do is always marked by evidences of human infirmity; usually so much so as to require the mind of a future age, the charity of succeeding generations to give a full appreciation of its magnitude. Our "Abolition Act of 1781" is justly considered by Pennsylvanians as constituting the brightest page of our State's history. Viewed from the point of time it was a measure of pure morality and one of the wisest statesmanship. And yet, shulled in its details, and regarded from a contemporaneous standpoint, it might have been a question with some whether there was not as much to deplore as to admire in the form of its provisions.

These thoughts have been suggested by noticing the very qualified approbation with which some of our friends receive the Proclamation of Freedom. They are glad—and yet they are sorry; they hope—but they fear: they believe—but they do not. "Why did he not make short work of it," they ask, "and at once sweep the system out of existence by proclamation? Liberty throughout the land to all the inhabitants thereof? Why did he not keep out of his proclamation all further talk about gradually buying out unwilling slaveholders and depopulating the South by emigration to the Mexican Gulf?" These are not practical questions. They are problems of the past, the discussion of which can be productive of no valuable benefit. What we have now to consider is what is, and not why he did not do something else. In considering this, let us be candid and make due allowance. Abstract questions of duty are easily settled; concrete ones are more difficult—especially to the one who have the responsibility of their solution.

Considering all the circumstances of the case, and all the collateral merits of his position, Mr. Lincoln's proclamation of immediate and unconditional freedom to three million four hundred thousand slaves, was, given day, in the near future, was a manly and courageous exercise of a questioned prerogative, enabling him to the respect and grateful acknowledgments of all true-hearted people.

It is true that people try to belittle the act by calling it title thunder—the object of which is beyond the reach of his power. True if it should never emancipate a single slave, he has set in a grand one, image and likeness of the government, conscious of the legal right and power to uphold slavery, voluntarily waives the one, and promises to use the other only for the overthrow of the system. The idea of its not constituting a single slave, or of its being idle thunder, is simply preposterous. Slavery in all the States exists now, as it ever has existed, by virtue of the aid it derives from the National government. Withdraw that aid, as he now has in effect done, and no power on earth can save it from the overthrow that awaits it.

The slaveholders at the South, and their coadjutors at the North understand this, if others do not. They as deal with things as they are; and hence the bitterness and unscrupulous advocate of the South, the Boston Post; "it is no use to cover anything up, or to try to make black white. *The proclamation is one of universal emancipation; nothing more, nothing less.*" Says the Harrisburg *Telegraph*, whose editor was the other day imprisoned for alleged acts of disloyalty: "The proclamation is an outrage upon the humanity and good sense of the country. It is a case of *general emancipation.* In the States not in rebellion the negroes are proclaimed free without compensation. In the loyal slave States, if there shall be any at that time (the 1st of January next), the slaves are to be paid for at the fixed compensation; so the Treasury is to be drained, not a dollar in the Treasury to do it with. In the name of the Constitution, of Humanity, and of Wisdom, we protest against this proclamation, and prophesy nothing but evil as its legitimate result."

Such is the language of Northern sympathizers with secession, and such the general outcry of that class of men, whose instincts as well as whose reason make them quick to discern the dangers that threaten the life of the system. It is the same thing. It any one is disposed to doubt the efficacy of the President's edict let him read the proceedings of the "Confederate" Congress; let him hear the howl of rage that issues from the throats of its members and leaders, and if he does not find out the proclamation is something more than a *brutum fulmen*, it will be because he is insensible to evidence. With one voice the Confederate Congress declared it an outrage, a general emancipation" an "incitement to servile war," an "invitation to general insurrection," worthy only to be held up to the "execration of mankind." The proclamation is all this, may even be in the fact that while in one breath they declare this to be the character and tendency of the document, in the next they boast that they are "abundantly able to maintain a salutary domestic authority" at the same time over our rebellious negroes and "to meet Lincoln's hosts in the field." All that is needed, they say, is a "little vigilance," and "suitable patrols." A very few"—even "the infirm"—will answer for the purpose. But they don't believe a word of what they say, they again break out with howls of terror and rage. Hear the Richmond *Enquirer*:

"Butler has been called infamous; by common consent he is known as the beast. But Butler is a saint compared to his master. In addition to all that Butler authorized, Lincoln adds butchery—even the butchery of babies. Language has not yet furnished a name suitable for such a character. Nay, the whole catalogue of all human infamy is not sufficient to do justice to his crimes. 'Murder' as a term of honor compared to Lincoln's crime. 'Child and woman murderer' tells but part of the story. To that it added the cowardice of employing an agent; to this belongs the additional fact that the agent, when unbound, is a savage. To this is added the further fact that Lincoln deems his agent to destruction. What shall we call him? Abroad, mons-ter, savage, murderer of women and babes and the like destroyer of his own deluded rebels! Shall we consider the case as all combined in the word fiend and shall we call him that? Lincoln, the fiend! Let history take hold of him, and let the civilized world fling his scorpion name upon him!"

These fellows have no idea to find with "insurrection" when they are the insurgents and it is the brain of the "Yankee" that are to be cut; they have nothing to say against "savage warfare" when they are stirring up the red men of the West to imbrue their hands in the blood of Union men, women and children, but when Mr. Lincoln gives them notice that they must lay down their arms against the government or take their chance of meeting a rising on the part of their slaves, their vocabulary is exhausted for a word to express their idea of his malignant demonism. Such testimony is strong as to the efficacy of the President's manifesto.

It will be noticed by those who read the ravings of the Confederates that there exist hopes among them of their political co-adjutors in the North. "It would not be at all surprising," says the Richmond *Whig*, "if at the proclamation were to excite not only resentment, but rebellion. Nor would it be astonishing if the close down during this Autumn were to be accompanied with armed collisions and illustrated by all the sanguinary phenomena of civil strife."

It is an available hope, but it is doomed to disappointment. Northern sympathizers—Democrats so called—will do all they can for their Southern brethren in the way of burrowing, and plotting, and contriving pollitical majorities, but they will hardly be fool-hardy enough to take the part of open rebellion. This is an expedient that could only be justified in their opinion by the hope of success. But they will do all they can at the polls. They are now laboring night and day to counteract the President's proclamation, to defeat the anti-slavery policy of the government, by procuring a vote which they can parade as a popular protest against both. In this they should not be allowed to succeed. The issue at the polls this year is as much and more one for and against slavery and freedom. It is to be presumed that every Abolitionist living the right, and not restrained by conscience on other grounds, will give to the cause to which he stands pledged the benefit of his suffrage. Two years ago the Executive Committee of the Pennsylvania Anti-Slavery Society, in defining their position under the Constitution, said—that as consistent Abolitionists they "could not endorse or take part in any movements that the Federal compact should be either dissolved, or so construed, by authority deemed to be competent, as to *give their suffrage in the case of the world the unambiguous meaning of a re-adopted slavery.*" The time for endorsing that meaning has now come. The man who goes to the polls this fall to sustain the Administration in its present attitude and policy gives an unambiguous vote against slavery, and in favor of impartial freedom. If there are any who do not see the subject of the proclamation. It seems to me, It would be neither just nor wise in Abolitionists to undertake the scope and value of that document. On the contrary, it appears to me that we should accept it, in every expression, for all that it is worth, and should by our grateful acknowledgements and avowed expectations, commit the President to the fulfillment of all its provisions. The Democratic and other Satanic papers are trying to play upon Executive weakness by affecting to regard the proclamation as merely nominary—as not likely to be put in force—and so in the view of it as to deter from it being put in force—and so in the view of it as to deter political support. They thus hope to wheedle the President out of his purpose. They are making it easy for him to recede, when he shall have been brought up to the point by other influences which they know as well how to bring to bear. Abolitionists should be careful how they aid them in their base design. Let us say openly what we must believe inwardly—that the President is to be entitled to all honor for committing himself before the world to a measure of such comprehensive beneficence.

I am intending to give you some account of a "Port Royal Festival" which I and others have been holding, but I find I have not left myself space. I send you, however, a report from one of our daily papers, from which, as far as it goes, a pretty fair idea may be formed of its proceedings. The venerable M. W. Baldwin presided with characteristic dignity, and added much to the interest and value of the meeting by the pertinency of his remarks in introducing Rev. Robert Dr. French spoke with his usual eloquence. Robert Purvis exceeded everybody's expectation. Preposessing in appearance and manner, he expressed himself with great felicitousness and was listened to with wrapt attention. Br. Tyng's speech, ever to the lateness of the hour, was listened to for short a great deal for his delighted hearers, but it was eloquent and pat to the point of public expectation. The people wanted to hear something about abolition and the President's proclamation, and he favored them on both of those points. He bore his testimony, and with his habitual strength of manner, in favor of immediate, unqualified, unconditional emancipation, with compensation to the slaves. Of my selection, he said he filled him with joy which he lacked words to express.

PERSONAL.

Mrs. Frances D. Gage sailed on Wednesday from this city to Hilton Head, S. C., to employ herself as a teacher of the negroes.

Hon. Cassius M. Clay addressed an immense audience in the Brooklyn Academy of Music on Tuesday evening last, in support of the President's proclamation.

Hon. Mr. Hutchings, successor to the seat of Hon. Mr. Giddings in the House of Representatives, has been spending a few days in Brooklyn, and shortly embarks for Port Royal, where he goes on what is doing among the contrabands at Port Royal.

The venerable Joshua R. Giddings was in this city one day last week. He was in good health and spirits, and looked as young as he did ten years ago. His quiet yet laborious services at Montreal have been of great value to the government.

Rev. Dr. Cheever preached a vigorous discourse last Sunday evening, showing the good and the bad point of the President's proclamation. He seemed to know that the Doctor's health, for which his friends feared during the summer, is now greatly improved.

A friend writes us, "I have just returned from the funeral of Abner Pugh, died 28th of Ninth month. The President's proclamation was read to him, when he exclaimed, *'I can now die in peace, having seen the salvation of my country.'"*

Rev. Daniel Kidder's *Amerikeith birthday* was lately celebrated in Syracuse. This remarkable man was a soldier in the Revolution, has had personal interviews with each President of the United States, has served as Chaplain for many years in Congress, and is older than the Republic. Is he to survive it?

We have had the pleasure of an interview with Brigen. Saxton, of the Department of the South. He believe he is fully up to the measure of the times. His views of the proper method of waging the war, which he expresses freely and openly, are such as are pecularly gratifying to the friends of freedom.

Theodore Rold, one of the early and most eloquent advocates of the anti-slavery movement, but who has been silent for the last few years, on account of feeble health, is about to resume his labors in the field. He will probably visit Boston, early in November. His spiritual services will be received with hearty satisfaction by his friends everywhere.

Parson Brownlow, in his address, last Thursday, at the Michigan State Fair, in Detroit, said:

Regarding Mr. Lincoln's proclamation, I will say, that if he means to force the abject rebels to emancipate their loyal men for them, I am for it, out and out (enthusiastic applause). I think the proclamation well timed, and for a reason that is manifest. If it had been made a month or six weeks later, the rebels would have made a proposition themselves to England to take their negroes and colonize them, and I thank God that Old Abe has taken the starch out of them (cheers).

Gerrit Smith's address before the New York Anti-Slavery Society in Peterboro, Oct. 22, 1862 (twenty-seven years after it had been republished as a tract by some gentlemen in Peterboro, for general circulation. It is to the following point:

Resolved, That the right of free discussion, given to us by God, and asserted and guarded by the laws of our country, is a right on which to mass freedom, and dignity, and usefulness, that we can never be guilty of its surrender, without consenting to exchange that freedom for slavery, and that dignity and usefulness for debasement and worthlessness.

Robert Smalls, the hero of the Planter, had a public reception in New York at the Shiloh Church (Rev. Mr. Garnet's) on Thursday evening, Oct. 2, where he was presented with a gold medal by a number of his new made friends. The medal bore on its face a representation of Charleston harbor, with the steamer Planter and Fort Sumter in the foreground, the Union squadron in the distance, and, on the reverse side, the following inscription:

Presented to Robert Smalls by the colored citizens of New York, Oct. 2, 1862, as a token of their respect for his heroism, his love of liberty, and his patriotism.

Robert Small, whose famous escape and personal appearance we have already made familiar to our readers, replied in a very modest address, recounting his despe-rate adventure, and expressing the hope that as he was about to return to his duty as a pilot on the Union fleet at Port Royal, he might yet guide it safely into Charleston harbor. Mrs. Smalls and her little boy Robert were then presented, and the whole family were greeted with wild and prolonged cheering.

A NOTE FROM REV. THEODORE L. CUYLER.—He have received the following note from Mr. Cuyler, to which we cheerfully give place:

To the Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard.

You fall into a singular error in your issue of Oct 4th in making me say that "the Emperor of France is friendly to the rebellion."

What I said that *Prince Napoleon* (who visited this country last year) is our friend; but that "the Emperor keeps his own secrets."

What the Emperor's secret intentions are I do not pretend to divine; but I do not believe that he will dare to advocate a combined intervention in behalf of Jeff. Davis's ragged slaveocracy since the utterance of Lincoln's proclamation of freedom.

THEO. L. CUYLER.

Brooklyn, Oct. 6, 1862.

THEOPHILUS PARSONS ON THE PROCLAMATION.—Mr. Parsons has addressed to the Boston *Daily Advertiser* a letter on the President's proclamation, in which he thus speaks:

"Some persons denounce the President's proclamation as unconstitutional; and this word exerts and influence which I cannot but regret. I suppose that no one should exert greater influence. I suppose that no one has for his property a stronger claim as a civil act; that he has a constitutional power to do this as a military act, grounded on a military necessity. I think the people of our army must have the right to judge of the existence and the force of a military necessity. It follows that if the proclamation is not by the assumption of a power he did not rightfully possess, but by the exercise of a right that belonged to him.

"I am not an Abolitionist. I did not expect or desire this measure, nor do I see its necessity. But to my mind I cannot be the judgment of the President; it was his duty to form and to act upon this judgment. And I am glad that he has done it. It was a measure of which none so well but he to bring to bear. Abolitionists should be careful how they aid them in their base design. Let us say openly what we must believe inwardly—that the President is to be entitled to all honor for committing himself before the world to a measure of such comprehen-sive beneficence.

I was intending to give you some account of a "Port Royal Festival" which I and others have been holding, but I find I have not left myself space. I send you, however, a report from one of our daily papers, from which, as far as it goes, a pretty fair idea may be formed of its proceedings. The venerable M. W. Baldwin presided with characteristic dignity, and added much to the interest and value of the meeting by the pertinency of his remarks in introducing Rev. Robert Dr. French spoke with his usual eloquence. Robert Purvis exceeded everybody's expectation. Preposessing in appearance and manner, he expressed himself with great felicitousness and was listened to with wrapt attention. Br. Tyng's speech, ever to the lateness of the hour, was listened to for short a great deal for his delighted hearers, but it was eloquent and pat to the point of public expectation. The people wanted to hear something about abolition and the President's proclamation, and he favored them on both of those points. He bore his testimony, and with his habitual strength of manner, in favor of immediate, unqualified, unconditional emancipation, with compensation to the slaves. Of my selection, he said he filled him with joy which he lacked words to express.

PERSONAL.

Mrs. Frances D. Gage sailed on Wednesday from this city to Hilton Head, S. C., to employ herself as a teacher of the negroes.

Hon. Cassius M. Clay addressed an immense audience in the Brooklyn Academy of Music on Tuesday evening last, in support of the President's proclamation.

Hon. Mr. Hutchings, successor to the seat of Hon. Mr. Giddings in the House of Representatives, has been spending a few days in Brooklyn, and shortly embarks for Port Royal, where he goes on what is doing among the contrabands at Port Royal.

The venerable Joshua R. Giddings was in this city one day last week. He was in good health and spirits, and looked as young as he did ten years ago. His quiet yet laborious services at Montreal have been of great value to the government.

Rev. Dr. Cheever preached a vigorous discourse last Sunday evening, showing the good and the bad point of the President's proclamation. He seemed to know that the Doctor's health, for which his friends feared during the summer, is now greatly improved.

A friend writes us, "I have just returned from the funeral of Abner Pugh, died 28th of Ninth month. The President's proclamation was read to him, when he exclaimed, *'I can now die in peace, having seen the salvation of my country.'"*

Rev. Daniel Kidder's *Amerikeith birthday* was lately celebrated in Syracuse. This remarkable man was a soldier in the Revolution, has had personal interviews with each President of the United States, has served as Chaplain for many years in Congress, and is older than the Republic. Is he to survive it?

We have had the pleasure of an interview with Brigen. Saxton, of the Department of the South. He believe he is fully up to the measure of the times. His views of the proper method of waging the war, which he expresses freely and openly, are such as are pecularly gratifying to the friends of freedom.

Theodore Rold, one of the early and most eloquent advocates of the anti-slavery movement, but who has been silent for the last few years, on account of feeble health, is about to resume his labors in the field. He will probably visit Boston, early in November. His spiritual services will be received with hearty satisfaction by his friends everywhere.

Parson Brownlow, in his address, last Thursday, at the Michigan State Fair, in Detroit, said:

Regarding Mr. Lincoln's proclamation, I will say, that if he means to force the abject rebels to emancipate their loyal men for them, I am for it, out and out (enthusiastic applause). I think the proclamation well timed, and for a reason that is manifest. If it had been made a month or six weeks later, the rebels would have made a proposition themselves to England to take their negroes and colonize them, and I thank God that Old Abe has taken the starch out of them (cheers).

Gerrit Smith's address before the New York Anti-Slavery Society in Peterboro, Oct. 22, 1862 (twenty-seven years after it had been republished as a tract by some gentlemen in Peterboro, for general circulation. It is to the following point:

Resolved, That the right of free discussion, given to us by God, and asserted and guarded by the laws of our country, is a right on which to mass freedom, and dignity, and usefulness, that we can never be guilty of its surrender, without consenting to exchange that freedom for slavery, and that dignity and usefulness for debasement and worthlessness.

Robert Smalls, the hero of the Planter, had a public reception in New York at the Shiloh Church (Rev. Mr. Garnet's) on Thursday evening, Oct. 2, where he was presented with a gold medal by a number of his new made friends. The medal bore on its face a representation of Charleston harbor, with the steamer Planter and Fort Sumter in the foreground, the Union squadron in the distance, and, on the reverse side, the following inscription:

Presented to Robert Smalls by the colored citizens of New York, Oct. 2, 1862, as a token of their respect for his heroism, his love of liberty, and his patriotism.

Robert Small, whose famous escape and personal appearance we have already made familiar to our readers, replied in a very modest address, recounting his desper

Miscellaneous Department.

FOR THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD.

EMANCIPATION.

BY WILLIAM E. PABOR.

Paint the glad tidings in letters of gold;
And scatter it broadcast over the nation!
Ring, merry Bells, while the story is told
In palace, in hovel, by fireside and door!
And wear the prairie in gladness is rolled,
All in the dawn of the country's salvation.

Wind of the mountain and wind of the plain,
Bear on your bosom the Grand Proclamation!
Speed o'er the flip'ness, in Thanksgiving song!
Never could tidings be welcomed more gladly.

Thou the glad tidings! the sho kle-shall fall,
The fetters from the wrists of slaves shall be broken!
In the name of Ol' Nashua, Hero's Freedom for all!
All through the length and the breadth of our land
Each in his Liberty's goal shall stand
Blushing our Child for the words he has spoken.

Marlcon, N. Y., April 24, 1862.

THE STORY OF "HAM."

FROM MADIE WINTHROP'S "JOHN BRENT."

[John Brent, Sir Brian Biddulph (an English farmer) and Richard Wade (who tells the story) are returning across the plains from California, when they meet with "Ham" under the following circumstances:]

WATER chased us close. It was full December when the plains left us, full back, and beached us upon the outer edge of civilization, at Independence, Missouri.

The muddy Missouri was running dregs. Steamboats were tired of skipping from sand-bar to sand-bar. Engineer had reported to Captain, that "Kangaroo, No. 3, would lose, if he didn't stop trying to make her lift herself over the damp country by her brace." No more steamhoating on the yellow ditch till there was a rise; until the Pacific coast down and all through the length and the breadth of our land